

Claim 1 recites displaying a first version of the original document, at least a portion of the first version being more similar in appearance to a corresponding portion of the thumbnail than a corresponding portion of the original document.

The Office Action admits the Reicheck does not explicitly teach or suggest this feature but alleges that Allam teaches this feature. Applicants respectfully disagree.

As discussed and agreed to during the personal interview, Allam does not teach or suggest a thumbnail. That is, as discussed during the personal interview, a thumbnail is a reduced representation of an original document, as described in the specification at, for example, paragraph [0031]. The extracted information in the left display area 126 as shown in Fig. 10 of Allam, for example, is an enlarged view of a portion of the original document shown in the electronic page view 130. Therefore, Allam does not teach or suggest a thumbnail.

Accordingly, Allam does not teach or suggest displaying a first version of the original document, at least a portion of the first version being more similar in appearance to a corresponding portion of the thumbnail than a corresponding portion of the original document, as recited in claim 1.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 1 is patentable over the applied references.

Independent claim 6 recites a controller that displays a first version of an original document via a display device, at least a portion of the first version being more similar in appearance to a corresponding portion of the thumbnail than a corresponding portion of the original document. Similar to claim 1, claim 6 is patentable over the applied references.

Claims 2-5 and 7 and 8 are patentable at least for their dependence on claims 1 and 6, respectively, as well as for the additional features they recite. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of the application are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff
Registration No. 27,075

Klifton L. Kime
Registration No. 42,733

JAO:KXH/tbh

Date: February 14, 2006

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. Box 19928
Alexandria, Virginia 22320
Telephone: (703) 836-6400

<p>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461</p>
--